


Meeting evaluation questionnaire LVIV  
2021

5 відповідей

[Опублікувати дані аналітики](#)

## Your organisational affiliation

5 відповідей



- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hile..."
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼

## Your occupation

5 відповідей

- Head of Department of Tourism
- quality department
- Head of International Relations Office
- Professor, Secretary of Academic Council
- Associate professor


## Your educational qualification

5 відповідей

- Professor
- higher
- Engineer
- Candidate of Technical Sciences
- Ph.D

## Your gender


5 відповідей



- M
- F

## Age group

5 відповідей




- ...-30
- 31-40
- 41-55
- 56-65
- 66+

## The quality of meeting

The meeting was planned


5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The date of the meeting was communicated to partners in good time


5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The programme of the meeting was clear and adequate to the aim


5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The schedule of the meeting was in line with the plan


5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

Activities were organised


5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The coordinator conducted the meeting in a professional manner

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The technical support was adequate

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The amount of time allocated to each objective was adequate

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

Participants were allowed the opportunity to express their opinions and views

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The response to the questions were satisfactory

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

The meeting has achieved its aims

5 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

Please write any comment you might have regarding the organisational aspects of the meeting

5 відповідей

great job

.

Meeting was organized on a very high quality level, only positive comments

Very good organization of the meeting

The program activities were organized at a very high level. Thanks to the representatives of Lviv Polytechnic for hospitality.




## Meeting evaluation questionnaire MARIUPOL 2020

12 відповідей

### Your organisational affiliation

12 відповідей



- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hilie..."
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼

### Your occupation

12 відповідей

- International project manager
- Associated professor of Tourism Department in DSUM
- Professor of Didactic of Physics
- Associate professor
- head of the international relations office
- Senior lecturer
- Head of methodology department
- In charge of evaluation in the learning field
- Head of International Projects and Rankings Department


### Your educational qualification

12 відповідей



### Your gender


12 відповідей



- M
- F

### Age group

12 відповідей




- ...-30
- 31-40
- 41-55
- 56-65
- 66+

### The quality of meeting

#### The meeting was planned


12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The date of the meeting was communicated to partners in good time


12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The programme of the meeting was clear and adequate to the aim


12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The schedule of the meeting was in line with the plan


12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### Activities were organised


12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The coordinator conducted the meeting in a professional manner

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The technical support was adequate

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The amount of time allocated to each objective was adequate

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### Participants were allowed the opportunity to express their opinions and views

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The response to the questions were satisfactory

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

#### The meeting has achieved its aims

12 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

### Please write any comment you might have regarding the organisational aspects of the meeting

12 відповідей

Everything was good organised

thanks to project team!

In the quarantine environment the meeting was organized perfectly and its results are very positive.

The meeting was orgaized very well. All objectives has been achieved. Good experience and practice for on-line evaluation of educational programs.

The meeting was well-organized according with the project activites plan, the atmosphere of the meeting was friendly, the discussion was productive and efficient, it was well-coordinated by the moderator, the meeting goals were achieved.

Despite the impossibility of being physically present at the university, we were able to fully fulfill the tasks of the meeting, thanks to the clear organization of the meeting.

Thanks for good organization!

Компанія Google не створювала цей вміст і не підтримує його. Повідомлення про конфіденційність




## Meeting evaluation questionnaire

17 відповідей

[Опублікувати дані аналітики](#)

## Your organisational affiliation

17 відповідей




- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Technology
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hilendarski"
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼


## Your occupation

17 відповідей




## Your educational qualification

17 відповідей



## Your gender


17 відповідей



- M
- F

## Age group


17 відповідей



- ...-30
- 31-40
- 41-55
- 56-65
- 66+

## The quality of meeting


17 відповідей



- A
- B

## The date of the meeting was communicated to partners in good time


17 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

## The programme of the meeting was clear and adequate to the aim


17 відповідей



- A
- B

## The schedule of the meeting was in line with the plan


17 відповідей



- A
- B

## Activities were organised


17 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The coordinator conducted the meeting in a professional manner

17 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The technical support was adequate

17 відповідей



- A
- B

## The amount of time allocated to each objective was adequate

17 відповідей



- A
- B

## Participants were allowed the opportunity to express their opinions and views

17 відповідей



- A
- B

## Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions

17 відповідей



- A
- B

## The response to the questions were satisfactory

17 відповідей



- A
- B

## The meeting has achieved its aims

17 відповідей



- A
- B

Please write any comment you might have regarding the organisational aspects of the meeting

17 відповідей

Higher level

The meeting was at a high level.

-

Thank you

Very high level of meeting organisation.

Perfect organisation

the organization of the event met the necessary requirements

everything was beautifully organized

Компанія Google не створювала цей вміст і не підтримує його. Повідомити про порушення - Умови використання - Політика конфіденційності




## Meeting evaluation questionnaire

18 відповідей

[Опублікувати дані аналітики](#)

## Your organisational affiliation

18 відповідей




- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hil...
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼


## Your occupation

18 відповідей




## Your educational qualification

18 відповідей



## Your gender


18 відповідей



- M
- F

## Age group

18 відповідей



- ...-30
- 31-40
- 41-55
- 56-65
- 66+

## The quality of meeting


18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The date of the meeting was communicated to partners in good time


18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The programme of the meeting was clear and adequate to the aim


18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The schedule of the meeting was in line with the plan


18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## Activities were organised


18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The coordinator conducted the meeting in a professional manner

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The technical support was adequate

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The amount of time allocated to each objective was adequate

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## Participants were allowed the opportunity to express their opinions and views

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The response to the questions were satisfactory

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

## The meeting has achieved its aims

18 відповідей



- A
- B
- C
- D

Please write any comment you might have regarding the organisational aspects of the meeting

18 відповідей

I highly appreciate everything what our organizers have done for us

Many thanks to organizers and Erasmus+ for organizing and supporting such events

It was organised great

Professional team

Thanks for your hospitality. Great job

The level of organization of the meeting is generally high

All organizations were well thought out and planned. Good tech support

The translation from Russian to English was not satisfying. More active conduct of the meeting is necessary. The structure of the meeting and preparation could be more professional. The social activities were excellent!

Компанія Google не створювала цей вміст і не підтримує його. Повідомлення про порушення - Умови використання - Політика конфіденційності




## Meeting evaluation questionnaire

36 відповідей

Опублікувати дані аналітики

Country (where a meeting was conducted)


36 відповідей



- Latvia
- Bulgaria
- Sweden
- Belgium
- Romania
- France
- Ukraine
- Kazakh

Town (where a meeting was conducted)

36 відповідей




- Riga
- Plovdiv
- Stockholm
- Liege
- Craiova
- Nancy
- Kharkiv
- Lviv

▲ 1/2 ▼

Organization (where a meeting was conducted)

36 відповідей




- University of Latvia
- Plovdiv University 'Paisii Hil...  
...niversity
- KTH
- UCL
- University of Craiova
- University of Lorraine
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...  
...niversity
- Lviv Polytechnic National Uni...  
...versity

▲ 1/2 ▼


Who conducted meeting

36 відповідей



Duration

36 відповідей




- Riga 07.05.2019 - 10.05.2019
- Plovdiv 15.05.2018-18.05.2018
- Stockholm 10.09.2018 - 15.09.2018
- Liege 15.01.2019 - 18.01.2019
- Craiova 25.02.2018 - 27.02.2018
- Nancy 12.03.2019 - 14.03.2019
- Almaty 12.11.2019 - 15.11.2019
- Lviv

▲ 1/2 ▼

Your organisational affiliation

36 відповідей




- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...  
...niversity
- Plovdiv University 'Paisii Hil...  
...niversity
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...  
...niversity

▲ 1/3 ▼


Your occupation

36 відповідей




Your educational qualification

36 відповідей



Your gender


36 відповідей



- M
- F

Age group

36 відповідей




- ...-30
- 31-40
- 41-55
- 56-65
- 66+

The quality of meeting

36 відповідей

The meeting was planned


36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The date of the meeting was communicated to partners in good time

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The programme of the meeting was clear and adequate to the aim

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The schedule of the meeting was in line with the plan

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

Activities were organised

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The coordinator conducted the meeting in a professional manner

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The technical support was adequate

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The amount of time allocated to each objective was adequate

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

Participants were allowed the opportunity to express their opinions and views

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The response to the questions were satisfactory

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

The meeting has achieved its aims

36 відповідей



- A
- B
- C

Please write any comment you might have regarding the organisational aspects of the meeting

36 відповідей

I think it's better to make breaks each 90-100 minutes for 10 min

It was really interesting and beneficial for me

The meeting was great

Thanks to Plovdiv University

Well done

The organisation of meeting was amazing. All of the meeting aims were achieved.

Thank you for collecting us and organizing this Management meeting . Thank you for cooperation

Thank you for organization of meeting




## MOBILITY TO MARIUPOL

1 відповідь

[Опублікувати дані аналітики](#)

Your organisational affiliation

1 відповідь



- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hile..."
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼

Your occupation

1 відповідь

Leading Researcher of the Unit for Policy and Governance in Higher Education

First Name

1 відповідь

Kateryna

Last Name

1 відповідь

Truma


e-mail

1 відповідь

katet@ukr.net

Do you need assistance in hotel booking ?

1 відповідь



- yes
- no
- don't know yet
- know

Do you have any dietary restrictions?


1 відповідь

no





Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union




## Project management evaluation questionnaire

6 відповідей

[Опублікувати дані аналітики](#)

Your organisational affiliation

6 відповідей



- University of Craiova
- University of Liege
- KTH Royal Institute of Techno...
- Plovdiv University "Paisii Hil...
- University of Latvia
- University of Lorraine
- High Council for Evaluation of...
- Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Natio...

▲ 1/3 ▼

Your occupation

6 відповідей

- Head of International Relations Office
- Head of the International Relations Department
- As.Prof.
- professor
- Associate Professor at the Department of Finances
- Teacher


Your educational qualification

6 відповідей

- Engineer
- PhD
- Management
- management
- PhD in Economic
- phd

Your gender

6 відповідей




- M
- F

The quality of meeting

Project coordination: was it provided long-term plan to ensure the project implementation & distribution of tasks among partners; hand-out materials reflecting activities leading to the expected outcomes; schedule of deliverables; tables of financial resources; tables of activities, deliverables, partners' role & resources in accordance with 8 working packages; schedule of travels


6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Management meetings: were management & steering group meetings sufficient, regular, relevant & efficient?


6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Reporting: were instructions, schedule and templates of documents for activity & financial reports provided


6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Control of project activities & budget: was regular monitoring, evaluation, control and feedback based on received data provided?


6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

EDUQAS project financial and accounting management: does it comply with the requirements of sound financial management, in particular regarding economy and efficiency? are accounting records up-to-date, accurate & reliable, drawn up according to proper accounting standards, methods, policies & rules?


6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Bank management: are electronic transfers used properly, project-dedicated specific bank accounts used?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Documentation, filing and record keeping: is documentation kept clear & relevant, providing sufficient evidence that contractual conditions have been met?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Modifications to the grant agreement: were modifications requested in writing in good time & the necessary agreement from the Agency received?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

No-profit principle: has the funding been used solely to carry out work programme activities for which the operating grant has been awarded (compliance with the work programme)?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Payroll and time management: was it provided proper calculation of pay and compliance with national social security & related rules; adequate staff contracting; adequate systems for allocating staff costs to projects; adequate control of attendance: sound remuneration practices?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Travel & subsistence: are travel & subsistence reasonable, justified, prudent, in accordance with an initial work plan & in the interest of the project, adequately documented & checked?

6 відповідей



- A - level is high
- B - level is adequate
- C - level is average
- D - level is low

Please write any comment you might have regarding the project management

6 відповідей

Management measures allowed to perform the planned activities

Low level of the project coordination on behalf of the coordinator/grantholder caused some difficulties in performing project tasks

Very practical oriented project.

Everything was perfect

The project was managed efficiently and in accordance with the requirements




## Project management evaluation questionnaire

23 відповіді

Опублікувати дані аналітики

### Country

23 відповіді



Latvia  
Romania  
Sweden  
Belgium

### Organisation


23 відповіді



University of Latvia  
University of Craiova  
KTH  
Liege


### Who are responsible for project management

23 відповіді



### Your organisational affiliation


23 відповіді



University of Craiova  
University of Liege  
KTH Royal Institute of Techno...  
Plovdiv University 'Paisii Hil...  
University of Latvia  
University of Lorraine  
High Council for Evaluation of...  
Simon Kuznets Kharkiv Nation...


### Your occupation

23 відповіді




### Your educational qualification

23 відповіді



### Your gender


23 відповіді



M  
F

### Age group

23 відповіді




...-30  
31-40  
41-55  
56-65  
66+

### The quality of meeting

23 відповіді

Project coordination: was it provided long-term plan to ensure the project implementation & distribution of tasks among partners; hand-out materials reflecting activities leading to the expected outcomes; schedule of deliverables; tables of financial resources; tables of activities, deliverables, partners' role & resources in accordance with 8 working packages; schedule of travels


23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Management meetings: were management & steering group meetings sufficient, regular, relevant & efficient?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Reporting: were instructions, schedule and templates of documents for activity & financial reports provided

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Control of project activities & budget: was regular monitoring, evaluation, control and feedback based on received data provided?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

EDUQAS project financial and accounting management: does it comply with the requirements of sound financial management, in particular regarding economy and efficiency? are accounting records up-to-date, accurate & reliable, drawn up according to proper accounting standards, methods, policies & rules?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Bank management: are electronic transfers used properly, project-dedicated specific bank accounts used?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Documentation, filing and record keeping: is documentation kept clear & relevant, providing sufficient evidence that contractual conditions have been met?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Modifications to the grant agreement: were modifications requested in writing in good time & the necessary agreement from the Agency received?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

No-profit principle: has the funding been used solely to carry out work programme activities for which the operating grant has been awarded (compliance with the work programme)?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Payroll and time management: was it provided proper calculation of pay and compliance with national social security & related rules; adequate staff contracting; adequate systems for allocating staff costs to projects; adequate control of attendance; sound remuneration practices?

23 відповіді



A - level is high  
B - level is adequate  
C - level is average  
D - level is low  
A  
B  
C  
D

Travel & subsistence: are travel & subsistence reasonable, justified, prudent, in accordance with an initial work plan & in the interest of the project, adequately documented & checked?

23 відповіді

